DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Moorpark	Coastal	
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Sgt. M. Moriarty		04/09/2010

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION ☐ Formal Evaluation ☑ Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE		7	
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	21//	DATE	
☐ Yes ☑ No	in 21	Usus/-	04/09/20	
1. COMMAND INVOLVEMENT	Yes	No No	CORRECTE	D
Does the command emphasize importance of proper enforcement incidence of injuries incurred by officers?	nt tactics to achieve the I	owest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does the commander stress importance of proper enforcem	ent tactics, including use	of force?	✓ Yes	□ No
(2) Does the safety record of the command reflect an awarenes	s of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□No
(3) Do the officers' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Performance Appropriately?	aisals, contain comments	s on officer	✓ Yes	□No
b. Are the commander and lieutenants knowledgeable of enforcement proper use of force, and the correct use of safety equipment?	ent tactics, physical metr	ods of arrest,	☑ Yes	□ No
(1) Is this knowledge applied properly in critiques of incidents in	volving officers and serg	eants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do the captain and lieutenants maintain a minimum level of	enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they attend officer safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□ No
(b) If they are not involved in officer safety, what are the rea	asons?			
·				
2. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED)
a. Do training records indicate formal training has been received an	d certified?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Do records reflect annual certification of traffic officers and set tactics, physical methods of arrest, and the proper use of saf certifications been recorded for:				
(a) Searching techniques.			☑ Yes	□No
(b) Handcuffing.			✓ Yes	□No
(c) Use of safety equipment.			✓ Yes	□ No
(d) Suspect control.			✓ Yes	□No
(e) High risk and felony stops.			✓ Yes	□No
(f) Hostage control.			✓ Yes	□No
(g) Prisoner transportation.	and the same of th		✓ Yes	□No
(h) Radio control head operation.			☑ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

		1.6							
	(2)) Is t	e command dedicating enough	time toward training?				✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect cert	fications for officers and	d sergeants are curr	ent?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	is there an established follow-uand sergeants?	ip procedure to assure t	timely recertification	of all	officers	✓ Yes	□No
			supervisors review CHP 121s, eneral observations to determi					✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Аге	vell-handled incidents recorded	for future training purp	oses?			✓ Yes	□ No
	(2)		use of force situations closely r vhat level of force, is justified?	eviewed to ascertain if a	all uniformed person	nel ur	nderstand when,	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 1 peing made?	00, CHP 118s, and citiz	en complaints indic	ate a t	through review is	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify thos s made available?	e officers who are not p	proficient and ensure	e refre	sher training	√ Yes	□No
(. Is r	refres	er training required prior to cer	ification?				✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Аге	ne number of training hours ne	cessary to accomplish o	certification indicate	d on th	ne CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	s any pattern of training weakr	ess apparent?				☐ Yes	☑ No
		(b)	Have necessary remedial steps ategories?	been taken to assure t	horough and contin	uous p	proficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
C	l. Do	es the	command have an adequate n	umber of instructors?				✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls in	tructor proficiency maintained?					✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Has	an individual been given respor	sibility for the program?	?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does that individual ensure the	quality and level of prof	iciency is maintaine	d?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are	nere adequate and properly ma	intained facilities and e	quipment available	for offi	icer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
115	(4)	Wha	is the quality and quantity of th	e training being given?	The Moorpark Ar	ea ens	ures departmental pol	icy is adhere	d to with
		rega	ds to quantity and quality of tr	aining received.					
-	(5)	Hav	the supervisor and his/her alte	rnate received proper tr	raining?			✓ Yes	□No
3. S	AFET	ΥEG	JIPMENT		Yes Yes		ACTION REQUIRED Yes	Yes	
а	. Is C	Dieore le on	in Capsicum (OC) spray (pepputy, in uniform?	er spray) carried by all	1	el, cap		☑ Yes	□No
	(1)		spray used when the need is utilized to subdue a subject?	ndicated? Are notation	s made on booking	sheet	s when OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		an officer is assaulted and an pray on the CHP 121?	injury occurs, are the st	upervisors noting th	e use/	nonuse of OC	☑ Yes	□No
		Δτα	dividuals who are exposed to	DC spray decontaminate	ed by flushing the a	ffected	d area with clear		

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?						
b. Are officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holsters? (1) Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster and without looking at the holster, fasten the safety stray with one hand? (2) Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one and a half seconds, using one hand? (3) Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holster-related exercises? (3) Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holster-related exercises? (4) Do officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons? (5) Can officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops? (6) Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops? (7) Yes No. (8) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques? (9) Yes No. (1) Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a builet or other penetrating type instrument? (a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination? (b) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination? (c) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (a) Do Officers thoroughly understand the policy? (b) Are shoots conducted a required by policy? (c) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy? (b) Are shoots conducted as required by policy? (c) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current? (d) Do sthe Area have a range officer? (e) No (f) Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies? (g) Yes No (g) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.? (g) Yes No (h) Has the officer supervise all shoots?				(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of sallne solution?	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster and without looking at the holster, fasten the safety stap with one hand? (2) Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one and a half seconds, using one hand? (3) Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holster-leated exercises? (4) Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holster-leated exercises? (5) Can officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons? (6) Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops? (7) Yes No. (8) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques? (9) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques? (1) Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor? (8) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination? (9) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination? (1) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance? (2) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (1) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance? (2) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (3) Do trialning and maintenance records readily available? Current? (4) FIREARMS (5) Do trialning and maintenance records readily available? Current? (6) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current? (7) Yes No. (8) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.? (8) Yes No. (9) Do set the Officer supervise all shoots? (1) Has the officer vell-organized in his/her training? (1) Has the officer well-organized in his/her training? (2) Yes No. (3) Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?				(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?	✓ Yes	□No
the safety strap with one hand? (2) Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one and a half seconds, using one hand? (3) Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holster-related exercises? (3) Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holster-related exercises? (4) Can officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons? (5) Can officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons? (6) Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops? (7) Yes No. (8) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques? (9) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques? (1) Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument? (a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination? (b) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination? (c) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (d) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance? (e) If SexaluateD		b.	Аг	e officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holsters?	✓ Yes	□No
(3) Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holster-related exercises? C. Are officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons? d. Do officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons? (1) Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops? (2) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques? (3) Yes No (4) Were required personnel wear body armor? (5) Were required personnel wear body armor? (6) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination? (7) Yes No (8) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination? (9) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (1) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance? (2) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (9) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy? (1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy? (2) Yes No (3) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy? (4) Are shoots conducted as required by policy? (5) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current? (1) Have sleps been taken to correct training deficiencies? (2) Yes No (3) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.? (1) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers? (2) Yes No (3) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?			(1)		✓ Yes	□No
related exercises?			(2)	Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one and a half seconds, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□ No
d. Do officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons? (1) Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops? (2) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques? (3) Least an end of the provided personnel wear body armor? (4) Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument? (5) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination? (6) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination? (7) Yes No (8) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination? (9) Yes No (1) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance? (1) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance? (2) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (1) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (2) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (3) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy? (4) Pes No (5) Are shoots conducted as required by policy? (6) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy? (7) Yes No (8) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy? (9) Yes No (10) Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies? (11) Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies? (12) Yes No (22) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current? (3) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.? (4) Yes No (5) Does the Area have a range officer? (7) Yes No (8) No (9) Pes No (9) Pes No (10) Has the officer supervise all shoots? (11) Yes No (12) Does the officer supervise all shoots? (12) Pes No (13) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?			(3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops? (2) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques? (3) E. Do all uniformed personnel wear body armor? (4) Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument? (5) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination? (6) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination? (7) Are holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs, handcuff case, and OC spray projectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisal? (7) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance? (8) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (9) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy? (1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy? (2) Yes No (3) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy? (4) Yes No (5) Are shoots conducted as required by policy? (6) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current? (7) Yes No (8) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.? (8) Yes No (9) Does the Area have a range officer? (1) Has the officer supervise all shoots? (2) Does the officer supervise all shoots? (3) Is the officer well-organized in his/her training? (4) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?	-	c.	Are	officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques? E. Do all uniformed personnel wear body armor? (1) Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument? (a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination? (b) Are holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs, handcuff case, and OC spray projectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisal? (b) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance? (c) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (d) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy? (e) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (f) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy? (g) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy? (g) Yes No (g) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy? (g) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current? (g) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.? (g) Pess No (g) Does the Afrea have a range officer? (g) Pess No (g) Does the officer supervise all shoots? (g) Does the officer well-organized in his/her training? (g) Yes No (h) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?		d.	Do	officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?	✓ Yes	□No
e. Do all uniformed personnel wear body armor? (1) Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type Instrument? (a) If so, did the Involved officer receive a complete physical examination? (b) If so, did the Involved officer receive a complete physical examination? (c) Yes No (d) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance? (d) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (e) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (f) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance? (g) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (h) FIREARMS (h) Action Required Action Required No (h) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy? (h) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy? (h) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy? (h) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy? (h) Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies? (h) Are shoots conducted as required by policy? (h) Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies? (g) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current? (g) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.? (g) Poss the Area have a range officer? (h) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers? (g) Does the officer supervise all shoots? (g) Does the officer supervise all shoots? (g) Does the officer well-organized in his/her training? (g) No (h) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?			(1)	Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops?	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type Instrument? (a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination? (b) Yes No (c) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination? (d) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination? (e) Yes No (f) Are holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs, handcuff case, and OC spray projectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisal? (f) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance? (g) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (g) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (g) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (g) Ves No (g) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding discharge of firearms been compiled with? (g) Pes No (g) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy? (g) Yes No (g) Are shoots conducted as required by policy? (g) Yes No (g) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current? (g) Yes No (g) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.? (g) Yes No (g) Does the Area have a range officer? (g) Yes No (g) Does the officer supervise all shoots? (g) Pes No (g) Does the officer supervise all shoots? (g) Yes No (g) Sther officer supervise all shoots? (g) Yes No (g) Sther officer well-organized in his/her training? (g) Yes No (g) Yes No (g) Sther a designated alternate to the range officer?	-		(2)	Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques?	✓ Yes	□No
armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument?	-	e.	Do	all uniformed personnel wear body armor?		□No
f. Are holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs, handcuff case, and OC spray projectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisal? (1) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance? (2) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (2) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? (3) Levaluated No Requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been compiled with? (1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy? (2) Yes No (3) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy? (4) Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies? (5) Yes No (6) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current? (7) Yes No (8) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.? (9) Yes No (10) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers? (11) Has the officer supervise all shoots? (12) Pes the officer well-organized in his/her training? (13) Is the officer well-organized in his/her training? (24) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer? (25) Yes No			(1)		✓ Yes	□No
projectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisal?				(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection? 4. FIREARMS a. Has the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been compiled with? (1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy? (2) Yes No (3) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy? (4) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current? (5) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current? (6) Does the Area have a range officer? (7) Yes No (8) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.? (9) Yes No (10) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers? (11) Has the officer supervise all shoots? (12) Does the Officer well-organized in his/her training? (13) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?					□Yes	☑ No
A. FIREARMS a. Has the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been compiled with? (1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy? (2) Are shoots conducted as required by policy? (3) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.? (4) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers? (5) Yes No (6) No (7) Has the officer supervise all shoots? (8) Yes No (9) Does the Area have a range officer? (9) Yes No (10) Has the officer supervise all shoots? (11) Has the officer well-organized in his/her training? (12) Leval Description of the policy? (13) Let here a designated alternate to the range officer? (14) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer? (15) Yes No (16) No			(1)	Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?		□No
A. FIREARMS a. Has the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been compiled with? (1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy? (2) Are shoots conducted as required by policy? (3) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.? (4) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers? (5) Pes No (6) No training records show qualification? (7) Yes No (8) No training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.? (8) Yes No (9) No (10) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers? (11) Has the officer supervise all shoots? (12) Does the officer well-organized in his/her training? (13) Is the officer well-organized in his/her training? (14) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?			(2)	Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection?	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?	4.	FIR	ΕA	DMC	CORRECTED	
(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy? Yes No		a.	Ha	s the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been compiled with?	✓ Yes	□No
b. Are shoots conducted as required by policy? (1) Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies? (2) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current? (3) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.? (4) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers? (5) Does the Area have a range officer? (6) Does the officer supervise all shoots? (7) Yes No (8) Is the officer well-organized in his/her training? (9) Yes No (10) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?			(1)	Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?				(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current?		b	Are	shoots conducted as required by policy?	Yes	□ No
(3) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.? ☑ Yes □ No C. Does the Area have a range officer? ☑ Yes □ No (1) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers? ☑ Yes □ No (2) Does the officer supervise all shoots? ☑ Yes □ No (3) Is the officer well-organized in his/her training? ☑ Yes □ No (4) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?		((1)	Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?	✓ Yes	□No
c. Does the Area have a range officer? (1) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers? (2) Does the officer supervise all shoots? (3) Is the officer well-organized in his/her training? (4) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer? ✓ Yes □ No		((2)	Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current?	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers?		((3)	Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Does the officer supervise all shoots?		c. I	Doe	es the Area have a range officer?	✓ Yes	□No
(3) Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?		((1)	Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers?	✓ Yes	□ No
(4) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?		((2)	Does the officer supervise all shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
		((3)	Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?	☑ Yes	□No
(a) Has that officer received Academy training?		(4)	Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?		□No
				(a) Has that officer received Academy training?	Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

_			
-	d. Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	□Yes	□No
-	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	✓ Yes	□No
	e. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been Inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	☑ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?		□No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?		□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?		□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?		□ No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?		□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	☑ Yes	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	☑ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	✓ Yes	□No
f	f. Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	✓ Yes	□No
9	g. Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

-				
	((3) Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (per inventory records)?	✓ Yes	□ No
-	((4) Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual amount expended (per the shooting roster)?	✓ Yes	□No
-		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those training days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	((5) Are records kept updated as training takes place?	✓ Yes	□No
	((6) Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?	✓ Yes	□No
-	((7) Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions?	✓ Yes	□No
	((8) Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scores, date, etc.)?	✓ Yes	□No
		Is there a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition is not involved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?	 ✓Yes	□No
	((1) Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording ammunition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(;	(2) Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee?	✓ Yes	□No
	i. If	f Area has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers?		-
	(′	(1) If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?	☐ Yes	□No
	j. Aı	are required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1	(1) Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?	☑ Yes	□No
5.	PHY	SICAL METHODS OF ARREST Yes ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED)
	a. D	Do officers practice weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1	1) Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(2	2) Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense	?	□No
	b. V	Were demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:		
	(1	1) Control holds.	✓ Yes	□ No
	(2	2) Punches.	☑ Yes	□No
	(3	3) Strikes.	✓ Yes	□No
	(4	4) Blocks.	✓ Yes	□No
	(5	5) Defensive kicks.	✓ Yes	□No
	(6	6) Defenses against grabs.	✓Yes	□No
	(7	7) Defenses against weapons.	☑ Yes	□No
	(8)	8) Ground defense and takedowns.	☑ Yes	□No
	(9	9) Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.	☑ Yes	□No
		The state of the s	The State United States	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

		~ (The state of the s				
(). V	Vere c	observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1		can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is stancooperative?	nding, kneeling, pro	one, or	✓ Yes	□No
	(2	2) Ar	re all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental polic	y on handcuffing?		✓ Yes	□No
C	l. A	re all	I persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive we	apons?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) На	as the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed?			✓ Yes	□No
195-116-	(2	2) Ha	as a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough	searches been obs	erved?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)) Do	o all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the oppo	site sex as outlined	In policy?	✓ Yes	□No
6, E	NFC	ORCE	EMENT TACTICS EVAL Yes	UATED	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
а			rgeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures whic five options of an enforcement stop?	h should be followe	ed during each	☑ Yes	□No
b			icers have a constant awareness of their personal safety during nending suspected or known criminals?	enforcement stops	and when	☑ Yes	□No
	(1)		ere demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which sho e situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presente		ty to safely control	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)) Is the violator stop effectively made?			✓ Yes	□No
		(b)) Is the violator completely controlled?			✓ Yes	□No
		(c)	Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?			✓ Yes	□No
С		there tuation	e evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies ons?	s to prepare beat of	ficers for hostage	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		o officers understand their role is limited to containment of the in ving jurisdiction?	cident until relieved	d by the authority	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)) Are	e officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all time	s?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)		e officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential ress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render nec			☑ Yes	□No
	(4)		ere various officers and supervisors questioned to determine the stage incidents?	eir knowledge of the	e CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
. Р	URS	UITS	S EVALU Yes	JATED	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
a.	Are	e all u	uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the conduc	t of pursuits?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Nur	imber of units?			☑ Yes	□No
	(2)	Wh	nen to discontinue?			☑ Yes	□ No
	(3)		ere pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits comply ed in policy?	with enforcement	guidelines	☑ Yes	□No
		(a)	Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective actions ta	ken?		✓ Yes	□No
					gencies during		

. .

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

-						
-	(1)	Are any written agreements on file?		0(1/2)295	☐Yes	☑ No
-	(2)	s Division involved in the planning process?			☐Yes	☑ No
-	(3)	Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailore	ed to the specific ne	eeds of the command?	✓ Yes	□No
8.	FORC	IBLE STOPS	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	
	a. Are	Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on force	:ible stops?		✓ Yes	□No
9	(1)	Does the Area follow departmental policy?	dl————————————————————————————————————		✓Yes	□No
-	(2)	Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance w	th policy?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has conducted?	corrective action be	een taken or training	✓ Yes	□No
9. 1	ROAD	BLOCKS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTE)
ŧ		s the Area worked with allied agencles to develop plans for ε he hollow spike strip?	stablishing roadblo	ocks and deployment	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have the officers received instructions on the proper method	ods of establishing	roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Have interagency training sessions been conducted?			✓ Yes	□No
10.	RADI	O FAMILIARIZATION	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
ä	. Are	officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?			✓ Yes	□No
b	. Car	n officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their ho	me Area to anothe	r Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□No
C	. Car	officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from the	ne radio head?		✓ Yes	□No

Memorandum

Date:

April 15, 2010

To:

Coastal Division

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Moorpark Area

File No.:

770.10157.13407

Subject:

ACTION ITEM RESPONSE FOR CHAPTER 17 INSPECTION

During the Moorpark Area's recent Chapter 17 inspection, conducted on April 9, 2010, there was one item that required action to bring the Area up to compliance. The following measure has been taken to rectify the issues:

• Action Item #1 – Area's training officer to enter soft body replacement vests into the ETRS. The Area Training Officer will conduct a survey of all uniformed personnel to determine the expiration and replacement dates of the uniformed personnel's soft body armor. The survey will be conducted within 30 calendar days beginning April 19, 2010.

If there are any questions regarding these items, please contact Sergeant Mike Moriarty at (805) 553-0800.

C. L. WILLIAMS, JR., Captain

Commander

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 1 of 3

Command: Division: Moorpark Coastal	Chapter:
nspected by: Sgt. M. Moriarty	Date: 4/09/2010

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be typed. Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter number of the inspection in the Chapter Inspection number. Under "Forward to:" enter the next level of command where the document shall be routed to and its due date. This document shall be utilized to document innovative practices, suggestions for statewide improvement, identified deficiencies, corrective action plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be used if additional space is required. TYPE OF INSPECTION Total hours expended on the x Corrective Action Plan Included inspection: 3 Division Level x Command Level x Attachments Included ☐ Executive Office Level Forward to: Coastal Follow-up Required: Division ☐ Yes x No Due Date: 4/15/2010 Chapter Inspection Inspector's Comments Regarding Innovative Practices: The Moorpark Area posts Monthly shoots from ETRS in the briefing room so officers and supervisors are aware of any deficiencies and to remain in compliance as outlined in HPM 70.8. 3-1. Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement: Inspector's Findings: Action Item # 1-Soft body armor replacement vests for five uniformed personnel have not been entered into ETRS.

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

Commander's Response: x Concur or Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 3

Command: Moorpark	Division: Coastal	Chapter:
Inspected by: Sgt. M. Moria	rty	Date: 4/09/2010

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command: Moorpark	Division: Coastal	Chapter:
Inspected by: Sgt. M. Moria	rty	Date: 4/09/2010

Required Action
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline

Action Item # 1. The Area Training Officer will conduct a survey with all uniformed personnel within 30 calendar days beginning April 19, 2010 to determine any deficiencies regarding soft body armor replacements and soft body armor that may be expired.

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	4/9/10
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	4-9-10
☐ Reviewer discussed this report with employee ☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	9-27-10